‘Social Perception’ Seminar  (Spring 2023)

What, When, & Where

Course #s : CGSC 425, PSYC 425  
Distro Group : Social Sciences  
When : Spring 2023, Tuesday afternoons, 3:30 - 5:20 pm  
Where : WLH 007  
Webpage : https://perception.yale.edu/SocPercSem/  

To download readings and other materials, you must be logged in to Canvas via CAS.

Who

Professor : Brian Scholl  (Professor, Dept. of Psychology; Chair, Cognitive Science program)  
Office : SSS 304  (at the corner of College/Prospect Streets & Grove Street)  
Email : brian.scholl@yale.edu  
Web : https://perception.yale.edu/  
Office Hours : Just after each class, or by appointment

Seminar Description

How does the mind work? One of the deepest insights of cognitive science is that this question does not have an answer. Or at least it doesn’t have a single answer: there is no one way that the mind works, because the mind is not one thing. Instead (like the body) the mind has parts, and different parts of the mind work in different ways. Accordingly, a great deal of research has involved asking about how different parts of the mind interact — and often exploring how one part of the mind influences another part (e.g. when studying the influence of emotion on memory, or of attention on moral reasoning). This seminar will explore one such potential connection — and it may be a surprising one, since it involves two aspects of the mind that are generally thought to be rather distinct.

We typically think of visual perception as among the simplest and earliest of our basic cognitive processes, while we think of social cognition as among the most advanced forms of higher-level thought. In this seminar we will explore how these two aspects of the mind connect — often in rich and unexpected ways. We will examine how social influences do and do not influence what we see, and how perception itself traffics in (and may be specialized for) social information.

Specific topics will likely include: perceiving traits such as trustworthiness and competence in faces; facial attractiveness; gaze processing and social attention; the perception of race, and ‘perceptual stereotyping’; the perception of agency and intentionality; biological motion; social color vision; morality and ‘mind perception’; social olfaction (exploring how we communicate social information to each other via smell); and social and cultural influences on perception.
Seminar Format

This course will be run as a reading-and-discussion seminar. Though there may be occasional mini-lectures or guest presentations, the usual format will involve an extended group discussion of a set of weekly readings focused on a particular aspect of social perception. The exact topics that we cover will depend on the interests of the seminar attendees and the interest generated about specific research ideas. In almost all cases, however, the majority of the readings will be drawn from the primary research literature in several areas of psychology and beyond — including cognitive psychology, vision science, social psychology, neuroscience, infant cognition, and behavioral economics.

To ensure a relatively intimate forum for in-depth discussion, the seminar will be capped at approximately 20 students, so instructor permission will be required to attend after the first class. All participants should be prepared to discuss the material for each day of class in detail, and students will frequently be asked on the spot for their opinions and analyses.

Application/Selection Process

If there are more interested students than available spots, then we’ll detail a process for making the decisions during the first session (asking everyone to send us some information that evening), and we’ll have the enrollment decisions made within 48 hours. So, to be considered, you must show up to (or contact us before) the first session. In case it helps with your planning and preferences, note that I do not plan to teach this seminar again, at least for a couple of years.

The decisions about who will be able to enroll in the seminar will be made in a holistic and ineffable way on the basis of the requested information, probably along with some form of random lottery. Senior majors in Cognitive Science and Psychology will have some priority — but to help ensure some diversity of perspectives, some non-seniors and some students from other majors and programs will be able to take the seminar too, regardless of the demand. (Indeed, I always admit at least some juniors and even intrepid sophomores.)

Requirements and Evaluation

You will be expected to carefully study the background reading for each meeting, and to discuss the material in class. In addition, you’ll submit brief (~ 1-page) weekly reading responses, and will complete a final seminar paper or project on a relevant topic of your own choosing. In more detail:

1. **(20%) Participation in Discussions**
   Your major task: just come to class each week prepared to actively and thoughtfully discuss the day’s topic(s)!

2. **(30%) Weekly Reading Responses**
   For each class, the next week’s readings will be distributed along with a question to which you’ll have to respond in a short (~ 1-page) essay. These questions will typically involve your own views on various theoretical issues involved in the readings, and they will often serve as the jumping-off point for the ensuing class discussion. Essay
responses will be due no later than **5 pm on the evening before the class** when the relevant readings will be discussed — by email to brian.scholl@yale.edu (with no attachments, please!).

3. **(50%) Final Paper or Project**
   The only other requirement for the seminar will be a final paper or project, due at the very end of the semester (typically a week before the final grades are due — a date that may differ for different classes of students). This requirement is flexible: it can be met by a standard research paper (roughly 15 double-spaced pages), by a proposal for some experiments you would like to run (also roughly 15 pages), or by actually constructing and running a pilot experiment (with a brief write-up and/or class presentation). (For senior Psychology majors taking the seminar to fulfill part of their senior requirement, the minimum limit will be 5000 words, and the due dates may be a bit different.) We will discuss the nature of this paper/project more fully in class, and I will frequently highlight potential topics as we encounter them. And at some point near the end of the semester, I will also ask you to submit a brief list of brainstormed ideas for the paper. I invite you to view this paper/project not as an irritating course-specific requirement, but rather as an opportunity to integrate the seminar with your own more general research goals, by actually proposing (and then perhaps running) an experiment of your own design. Indeed, my hope is that this requirement may contribute to your own research goals beyond this seminar (e.g. forming the foundation of a senior thesis or even a published paper, as has often happened in previous iterations of this seminar).

**Tentative Schedule**

The schedule below may very well change, since the topics we cover (and how much time we spend on them) will depend on your interests — especially toward the end of the seminar. But this may serve as a rough guide to the kinds of topics we’ll discuss:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>#</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Topic</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Jan 17</td>
<td><em>Introduction to social perception (the topic and the seminar)</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Jan 24</td>
<td><em>Can social factors change how we see?</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Jan 31</td>
<td><em>Can culture change how we see?</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Feb 7</td>
<td>* Seeing you seeing me: Gaze perception and social attention*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>Feb 14</td>
<td><em>Seeing minds in motion: Visual processing of agency and intentionality</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>Feb 21</td>
<td>* I like the way you move: Perceiving biological motion*</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Feb 28</td>
<td><em>About face: Perceiving and recognizing faces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Mar 7</td>
<td><em>I’d vote for her: Perceiving social information in faces</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 14</td>
<td><em>[No class: Spring break; go crazy]</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mar 21</td>
<td><em>[No class: Try to recover from poor decisions made the previous week]</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>Mar 28</td>
<td><em>Ooh la la: Facial attractiveness</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>Apr 4</td>
<td><em>Seeing race: Perceptual stereotyping</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>Apr 11</td>
<td><em>Morality, perception, and ‘mind perception’</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>Apr 18</td>
<td><em>Mimicry and imitation: Social vision in action</em></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>Apr 25</td>
<td><em>Sniff, sniff: Social olfaction</em></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Readings

A finalized reading list for the seminar as a whole is not possible, for a few reasons. In particular, we will try to let our seminar discussions determine our future foci to some degree — such that we might introduce new topics that come up organically, or we might end up spending more time on a few topics that we collectively find to be especially fascinating and/or difficult. The exact readings for each meeting will always be distributed at least one week in advance (e.g. announced during the previous session), and will typically involve several papers, with a total of perhaps 30-60 pages per week.

The readings themselves will be drawn from several sources, including leading science journals (e.g. Nature, Science), leading psychology journals (e.g. Psychological Science, BBS), perception journals (e.g. Perception, Visual Cognition), social psychology journals (e.g. JPSP, JESP, Personality and Social Psychology Bulletin, Social Cognition) and perhaps some journals with which you are less familiar (e.g. Proceedings of the IEEE, Archives of Sexual Behavior, American Journal of Political Science, Experimental Economics, Psychoneuroendocrinology).

Occasionally, different students may be asked to complete different auxiliary readings, so that we can cover more ground. Other times — quite often, actually! — you may also be asked to read an additional paper of your own choosing, based on citations and discussions in the main assigned papers (or, better, based on your own literature search). In either case, you should be prepared to summarize your auxiliary reading during our class meeting, and I will typically ask you to send it to me ahead of time, along with a 1-paragraph summary of what piqued your interest about it.

There will be no reading packet. All of the readings will be available online, and will be posted on our class webpage, where you can print them out at your leisure, or read them on your computer/tablet/phone/watch, etc.

Sample Readings

Though the specific topics that we cover (and how much we cover them) are subject to change based on our collective interests, here are some of the sorts of readings we may explore together — with five sample papers listed per topic:

Discussion #1: Can social factors change how we see?
Discussion #2: Can culture change how we see?


Discussion #3: Seeing you seeing me: Gaze perception and social attention


Discussion #4: Seeing minds in motion: Visual processing of agency


Discussion #5: I like the way you move: Perceiving biological motion


Discussion #6: About face: Perceiving and recognizing faces


**Discussion #7: I'd vote for her: Perceiving social information in faces**

Hall, C., Goren, A., Chaiken, S., & Todorov, A. (2009). Shallow cues with deep effects: Trait judgments from faces and voting decisions. In E. Borgida et al. (Eds.), The political psychology of democratic citizenship (pp. 73-99). OUP.  

**Discussion #8: Ooh la la: Facial attractiveness**


**Discussion #9: Seeing race: Perceptual stereotyping**


**Discussion #10: Morality, perception, and ‘mind perception’**


**Discussion #11: Mimicry and imitation: Social vision in action**


**Discussion #12: Sniff, sniff: Social olfaction**